



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Asaf TAMIR et al

Serial No.: 09/853,017

Filed: May 10, 2001

Group Art Unit: 2626

For: SONIC/ULTRASONIC
AUTHENTICATION DEVICE

Attorney Docket: 36434
(Previously: 452/65048)

Examiner: Susan Iris McFadden

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL

Sir:

(1) Applicant is a:
 small entity
 other than small entity

(2) The fee for claims 37 C.F.R. §1.16(b)-(d) has been calculated as shown below:

For	Claims after Amendment	Highest Claims Previously Paid
Total Claims	17	34
Indep. Claims	4	3

Small Entity	
Rate	Fee
0 x \$.25	\$ 0.00
1 x \$100	\$ 100.00
TOTAL:	\$ 100.00

Other Than Small Entity	
Rate	Fee
x \$.50	\$ 0.00
x \$200	\$ 0.00
TOTAL:	\$ 0.00

(3) A response to the Office Action dated August 30, 2006 X is filed herewith
 has been filed

(4) Please charge the additional claim fee and any other amount required to Deposit Account
No. 50-1407. A duplicate copy of this form is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

Yaakov Schatz
Yaakov Schatz
Reg. No. 44,320

February 28, 2007



12/07/06 16:32 FAX 703 305 3836 US Patent Office TC 2730

Q601

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/633,017	TAMIR ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Susan McFadden	2520	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Susan McFadden (3) _____
 (2) Alan Saxe (4) _____

Date of interview: 22 December 2006.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representativeExhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 22.

Identification of prior art discussed: Mark (5,826,871).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) P.A.

Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: *The Examiner agrees that the Mark reference does not read on the claims. The Examiner suggested that the claims should be amended to specify that the credit card service is stored alone and to specify that the card number is not displayed on a copy.*

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-113 (Rev. 04-02)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 20081207